## 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT 8 DISTRICT OF NEVADA 9 10 BRENDA WILSON, Case No.: 2:19-cv-00055-RFB-NJK Plaintiff(s), 11 **ORDER** 12 v. 13 EQUIFAX INFORMATION SERVICES. INC, et al., 14 Defendant(s). 15 16 Pending before the Court is the parties' proposed discovery plan requesting special scheduling review. Docket No. 45. Plaintiff and Defendants disagree on the appropriate schedule. Id. Plaintiff submits that a 180-day discovery period from the date Defendant Experian filed a 19 motion to extend time to respond to the complaint is appropriate. *Id.* at 4; see also Docket No. 4. 20 Defendants submit that the discovery dates should be set on a timeline consistent with Local Rule 21 26-1(b), but calculated from the date Defendant Trans Union filed its motion to dismiss, February 22 21, 2019. Docket No. 45 at 2; see also Docket No. 18. 23 Defendant Trans Union has reached a proposed settlement with Plaintiff. Docket No. 41. All deadlines have therefore been stayed as to this Defendant. Docket No. 42. Further, Defendant 25 Experian has filed a motion to stay discovery, which is not yet fully briefed. Docket No. 37. 26 Accordingly, the Court **DENIES** the parties' joint proposed discovery plan and scheduling order, Docket No. 45, without prejudice pending the Court's ruling on the pending motion to stay discovery. In the event the Court denies the motion to stay, the parties who remain in the case

shall file a renewed joint proposed discovery plan and scheduling order within seven days of the issuance of the order resolving the motion to stay. IT IS SO ORDERED. Dated: April 23, 2019 Nancy J. Koppe United States Magistrate Judge